Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/054,328	MARGARYAN, ALFRED A.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Elizabeth A. Bolden	1731		
All Participants:	Status of Application: Notice of Allowance			
(1) Elizabeth A. Bolden.	(3)			
(2) <u>Peter Ganjian</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 14 February 2011	Time: <u>15:12</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: 13-15 and 27-29				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance	
/Elizabeth A. Bolden/ Examiner, Art Unit 1731 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's Representative to inquire about possible Examiner's Amendments in order to put this case in condition for allowance. The Examiner proposed amending TABLE II back to the numerical original values as originally filed to overcome the new matter rejection created by the Amendment to the Specification on 29 August 2003 to TABLE II. The Applicant's Representative agreed to the change. The Examiner notified the Applicant's Representative that claims 13-15 and 27-29 which have been withdrawn without traverse by the election/restriction filed 19 December 2002 have been cancelled.